

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
02/27/2002	Narayanan Venkitaraman	СМ05034Н	2114		
22917 7590 12/08/2005			EXAMINER		
MOTOROLA, INC.			HARPER, KEVIN C		
QUIN ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
60196		2666			
	02/27/2002 12/08/2005 C. QUIN ROAD	02/27/2002 Narayanan Venkitaraman 12/08/2005 C. QUIN ROAD	02/27/2002 Narayanan Venkitaraman CM05034H 12/08/2005 EXAM HARPER, QUIN ROAD ART UNIT		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A		[A 15 47	- Mgn
Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	n.
		10/083,8	390	VENKITARAMAN ET AL	
		Examine	or	Art Unit	
		Kevin C.	•	2666	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	uon appears on tr	ie cover sheet with the	ocorrespondence address	
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ions of time may be available under the provisions of 30 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiceriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, oly received by the Office later than three months after in patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. 1ys, a reply within the starty period will apply and within the apply and within the apply and within the apply statute, cause the apply statute, cause the apply statute.	vent, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) o will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 15 November :	2005		
		☐ This action is			
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition for			prosecution as to the merit	ts is
	closed in accordance with the practice				
Dispositio	n of Claims				
4 5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 16,19,20 and 24-37 is/are pen a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16,19,20 and 24-37 is/are rejectation(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from co	onsideration.		
Applicatio	n Papers				
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□ objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	applicant may not request that any objection			, ,	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				
11)[]	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			•	
a) 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ethe attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bec cuments have bec ne priority docum Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei le 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	·
Attachment(s	s)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	240	4) Interview Summa		
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 15, 2005 concerning the Malki reference have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that Malki does not disclose maintaining a binding update list. However, in Malki (para. 32, lines 18-19), the mobile node inherently has maintained a list of correspondent nodes because a binding update is sent to each correspondent nodes it is communicating with.

Applicant's arguments, with respect to the Markki reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lim.

Response to Amendment

The affidavit filed on November 15, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Markki reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 16, 19, 20 and 24-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim (US 6,697,355) in view of Malki et al. (US 2001/0046223).

1. Regarding claims 16, 19 and 24-29, Lim discloses in a communication system supporting mobile IP (abstract, lines 1-2), where a mobile node (fig. 3, MHT) connects to a network infrastructure (item 400) via a gateway router (GWR1 or GWR2), a method comprising the

Application/Control Number: 10/083,890

Art Unit: 2666

mobile node attaching to a mobile gateway router (fig. 3, MRT21) associated with a mobile network which is a home network of the mobile node (col. 5, lines 44-47). However, Lim does not disclose the mobile node determining that it is attached to the mobile gateway router, obtaining a care-of-address, sending the care-of-address to the home agent of the mobile node, and maintaining a binding update list of correspondent nodes that the mobile node has been communicating with. Malki discloses a mobile node determining that it is attached to a mobile gateway router (figs. 2-3; para 32, lines 1-5), determining a care-of-address that is the home address of the mobile gateway node (para. 32, lines 6-10), sending the care-of-address to the home agent of the mobile node (para. 32, lines 15-19), and maintaining an inherent binding update list of correspondent nodes that the mobile node has been communicating with (para. 32, lines 18-19). Further regarding claim 19, the mobile node of Malki is a mobile router (note: the mobile node performs Internet routing functions by forwarding IP packets to correspondent nodes -- para. 9, lines 8-10). Regarding claim 28, the binding update is sent in response to a tunneled packet from a correspondent node (fig. 10, steps 1010, 1020, 1040). Regarding claim 29, the mobile node identifies a packet from a correspondent node that did not traverse the home agent (fig. 10, step 1030; para. 50, last four lines). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to self-register the mobility of a mobile node with a home agent in the invention of Lim in order to enhance mobility registration by controlling network messages by the mobile node (Malki, para. 54, last nine lines).

Page 3

2. Regarding claim 20, in Lim the mobile node (fig. 3, MHT) is detached from a mobile network (fig. 3, item 302; col. 5, lines 47-50).

Art Unit: 2666

3. Regarding claims 30-37, Lim discloses a mobile router having mobile nodes (fig. 3). However, Lim does not disclose detaching from the mobile gateway router, attaching to a second mobile gateway router and sending binding updates. Malki discloses choosing a new mobility point and sending binding updates (fig. 7, steps 710, 720 and 760) to correspondent nodes of a binding update list (para. 32, lines 18-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to self-register the mobility of a mobile node with a home agent in the invention of Lim in order to enhance mobility registration by controlling network messages by the mobile node (Malki, para. 54, last nine lines) and provide for free movement within a communications system (para. 4, lines 1-5).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For

Application/Control Number: 10/083,890

Art Unit: 2666

more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

December 6, 2005